

# Vereniging voor Milieurecht

REFIT and Make it Work – Fit and Working for EU (Environmental) Law?

> European and Economic Law / Prof. Dr. Hans Vedder

### **EU Environmental Law**

- > EU Environmental law in the history of EU law
- > The promise and responsibility of EU environmental law
- > Fit?
  - An ever more diverse Union
  - International competitiveness
- > Working?
  - Social sustainability
  - Low transaction costs

### Historical EU environmental law

- > Titaniumdioxide
- > Wild Birds Directive
- > Kraaijeveld
- > Water Framework Directive
- > Danish Bottles
- > IPPC/IED
- > Wells
- > Framework Decision on Environmental Crime
- > Mickelsson & Roos

## The promise and responsibility

- > EU environmental law promises to
  - · complete the internal market and
  - function at the leading edge of governance
- > History and promises make for responsibilities
  - The need to protect the environment
  - At the EU level
  - Without undue distortions of the level playing field

#### Fit?

- > A Union that encompasses boreal and sub tropical regions
- > Nearly 100% renewable energy in some regions and 93% coal in others
- > GDP / capita ranging from € 82K to 7K
- > Does everyone *value* the environment as much?
- > Do we need EU-wide uniform standards?

# Working?

- > Is EU environmental law working for the environment?
  - Regulatory distrust
  - NIMBYism
- An inclusive society?
- > Yet EU environmental law is a no-brainer
- $\rightarrow$  Provided it does what all law is supposed to do  $\rightarrow$
- > Legal certainty
- > With great power comes great responsibility



# Vereniging voor Milieurecht REFIT and Make it Work – Fit and Working for Dutch (Environmental) Law?

European and Economic Law / Dr. Lorenzo Squintani

# Ongoing discussion:









EU 2025-2030

2030-2035

2040

2050

NL

2020

2020

2030

2050

Systematisation

(Quasi-)Sustainability

Beyond

# Integration and adaptability as sub-optimal forms of sustainability

- More goal oriented EU environmental law
- More programmatic approach
- → Responsibility rests with Member States
  - Effectiveness & Efficiency
    - Adaptability
    - Legal certainty



### A fit and working programmatic approach

- > Actors (A):
  - Which competent authority is responsible?
  - What is the role of the Public in the decision-making?
- > Content (B):
  - What are appropriate measures?
  - Which values can be taken into account and to which extent?
  - When exceedances must be redressed?
- Assessment (C):
  - How is the quality of the environment measured?
  - How is the (cost-)effectiveness of the plan measured?



### Quick scan:

	OMGEVINGSWET (ENVIRONMENTAL AND PLANNING ACT)		ADAPTABILITY		LEGAL CERTAINTY		
			PPP*	Knowledge	Clarity	Enforceability	Judicial protection
	PROGRAMMATIC APPROACH	A) Comp Authority	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	?	$\checkmark$	?/X
		A) Public participation	X	X	X	X	X
		B) Measures	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark$	<b>√/?</b>	√/?	$\sqrt{\&X}$
		B) Values	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	?	$\checkmark$	?/ <b>X</b>
		B) Deadline	V	$\checkmark$	V	V	√& <b>X</b>
		C) Assess Quality	X	X			
		C) Assess (cost-) Effectiveness					

<sup>\* =</sup> People, Profit, and Planet

### Recommendations

- > Regulate international cooperation
- > Regulate cases of 'orphan' exceedances
- Regulate participatory democracy, e.g. use white papers and green papers (Cf. Elverding apporach)
- > Insert adaptability clauses as regards the assessment of quality standards and (cost-)effectiveness
- Make sure that explanatory memoranda are as complete and accurate as possible